

Rating Action: Moody's downgrades senior unsecured debt instruments of 14 German banks following change in bank insolvency law

03 Aug 2018

Action to remove government support from banks' ratings follows introduction of new senior unsecured debt class in Germany which ranks above outstanding (statutorily subordinated) senior unsecured debt instruments

Frankfurt am Main, August 03, 2018 -- Moody's Investors Service today took rating actions on 23 German banks, their subsidiaries and branches. The rating actions were prompted by a legal change to the German banks' insolvency rank order which took effect on 21 July 2018. The legal changes re-introduced an option for banks to issue plain vanilla preferred senior unsecured liabilities ("senior unsecured debt class"), as well as to issue new contractually non-preferred debt ("junior senior unsecured debt class") which will rank alongside outstanding legacy plain vanilla senior unsecured instruments. Moody's believes that following the legal change, the likelihood of government support being available for most of the senior unsecured instruments outstanding on 20 July 2018 has declined, as under the revised legislation those instruments remain subordinated to future (preferred) senior unsecured bank debt.

Following the change in law, the legal hierarchy of bank claims in Germany is now consistent with most other European Union (EU) countries, where statutes do not provide full preference to deposits over senior unsecured debt; the amended application of Moody's Advanced Loss Given Failure (LGF) analysis reflects the revised hierarchy of claims. The decline in government support and the revision of the rating agency's LGF approach has resulted in rating actions on German banks' ratings as detailed below:

- Downgrade of the long-term senior unsecured instruments of 14 banks by one notch owing to the reduction of government support assumptions for these securities to low from moderate previously. This resulted from the removal of one notch of rating uplift, previously factored into these ratings. The rating agency affirmed these ratings for two banks for which its government support assumption remained low. At the same time, Moody's reclassified such rated instruments as junior senior unsecured debt from senior unsecured debt previously.
- Affirmation of the long-term senior senior unsecured instruments with structural features issued by 10 banks, reflecting the results from Moody's Advanced LGF analysis and unchanged moderate likelihood assumption for government support. At the same time, Moody's reclassified these rated instruments as senior unsecured debt from senior senior unsecured debt previously.
- Upgrade of the long-term issuer ratings by one to three notches for 11 banks, and affirmation for one bank, reflecting that Moody's assigns issuer ratings at the level of the most senior plain vanilla unsecured debt class available in any jurisdiction.
- Affirmation of the long-term and short-term deposit ratings for 20 banks, reflecting unchanged results and rating uplift under Moody's Advanced LGF analysis as well as unchanged government support assumptions.
- Affirmation of the short-term program and issuer ratings, where applicable, for 17 banks.
- For HSH Nordbank AG, all unguaranteed long-term and short-term deposit and senior unsecured debt ratings as well as junior senior debt and issuer ratings remain on review for upgrade, while the bank's affected rated securities have been reclassified in accordance with the approach applied for all other entities in this rating action (from senior senior unsecured debt to senior unsecured debt and from senior unsecured debt to junior senior unsecured debt).
- Affirmation of the long-term backed senior unsecured instruments for seven banks; these instruments continue to benefit from recourse to German public sector entities. At the same time, Moody's reclassified these rated instruments as backed junior senior unsecured debt from backed senior unsecured debt previously.
- Withdrawal of all senior senior unsecured program ratings where these were in place and upgrade of senior unsecured program ratings for 15 banks. At the same time, new assignment of junior senior program ratings to

11 issuers' programs where the issuer has the option to issue junior senior debt. All other rating assessments and ratings of banks that are part of today's rating action are unaffected.

Please click on this link http://www.moodys.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBC_200292 for the List of Affected Credit Ratings. This list is an integral part of this Press Release and identifies each affected issuer.

RATINGS RATIONALE

(1) CHANGES IN LEGISLATION LEAD MOODY'S TO APPLY STANDARD EU LIABILITY RANKING UNDER ITS ADVANCED LGF ANALYSIS

LEGAL BACKGROUND IN MORE DETAIL

On 21 July 2018, Germany's transposition into national law of an amendment to the EU's Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD) took effect and has enabled banks to issue contractually subordinated senior unsecured bonds ("non-preferred", or junior senior bonds) that would fully count against the banks' regulatory minimum requirements for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL) as well as ("preferred") senior unsecured bonds that rank alongside other senior unsecured liabilities, including the most junior class of deposits. Based on the changes in law, the legal hierarchy of bank claims in Germany is now consistent with most EU countries, where statutes do not provide full preference to deposits over senior unsecured debt.

Legally, the new non-preferred instruments will rank pari passu with the majority of outstanding senior unsecured instruments issued up until 20 July 2018, to the extent the latter instruments were subject to Germany's statutory subordination under the previous insolvency ranking.

CONSEQUENCES FOR MOODY'S ANALYTICAL APPROACH

This pari passu ranking of new junior senior unsecured debt with legacy (statutorily subordinated) senior unsecured instruments makes it less likely that German authorities would selectively support the legacy instruments (which today's rating action reclassified into junior senior unsecured debt), following clarification that the German authorities expect these liabilities to bear losses in a resolution. Furthermore, any selective support could give rise to claims by adversely selected creditors that the No Creditor Worse Off principle established in the BRRD had been breached.

In response to the change in the German insolvency and resolution hierarchy, Moody's has today reclassified certain instruments issued by German banks. Complex structured debt instruments, which were previously treated as senior senior unsecured debt, have been reclassified as senior unsecured debt. In addition, legacy (statutorily subordinated) plain vanilla debt instruments, which Moody's previously classified as senior unsecured debt will now be treated as junior senior unsecured debt.

Following the re-introduction of a class of plain vanilla senior unsecured debt that ranks alongside junior

deposits, the rating agency now applies an alternative liability ranking when undertaking its Advanced LGF analysis for German banks. As with other EU countries where the most junior tranche of deposits does not enjoy preference over senior unsecured debt, Moody's considers the results of both the formal legal position (pari passu, or de jure scenario), with a 75% probability, and an alternative liability ranking reflecting resolution authority discretion (full depositor preference, or de facto scenario), to which the rating agency assigns a 25% probability. Prior to the change in the law, Moody's applied a single waterfall for German bank liabilities which reflected the full preference for German deposits over the senior unsecured instruments now reclassified as iunior senior unsecured debt.

The combination of applying the amended liability ranking under Moody's Advanced LGF analysis and the revised assessment of the applicability of government support for certain debt instruments has resulted in various rating actions on affected instruments and rating classes.

(2) RATING CLASS SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS

DOWNGRADE AND AFFIRMATION OF INSTRUMENTS RECLASSIFIED AS JUNIOR SENIOR UNSECURED DEBT

Moody's believes that there would be a low likelihood of government support for junior senior unsecured bank bonds, which are designed to bear losses in a bank resolution. For systemically important banks, Moody's previously assigned one notch of rating uplift for (statutorily subordinated) instruments that have now been

reclassified as junior senior unsecured debt.

As a result of its re-assessment of government support, the rating agency has downgraded junior senior unsecured instrument ratings for 14 banks by one notch. In addition, due to unchanged low government support assumptions, Moody's has affirmed junior senior unsecured instrument ratings for two banks. Furthermore, the securities reclassified as junior senior unsecured debt no longer carry rating outlooks, in line with Moody's general practice of not assigning outlooks to this debt class.

AFFIRMATION OF INSTRUMENTS RECLASSIFIED AS SENIOR UNSECURED DEBT

The results under the Advanced LGF analysis in combination with unchanged assumptions for government support led Moody's to affirm the ratings of instruments reclassified as senior unsecured debt issued by 10 banks.

The government support assumptions for all affected banks' senior unsecured ratings remained unchanged. For less systemically significant entities Moody's continues to believe that the probability of government support would be low, although for more systemic banks and members of systemic banking sectors with cross-sector support schemes the probability remains at moderate, resulting in an unchanged one notch of rating uplift from government support.

Moody's expects instruments that will henceforth be classified as senior unsecured debt and rated at the same level as issuer ratings, to gain relevance as a funding tool for German banks, now that the legislative changes enable them to issue (preferred) senior unsecured bonds in both structured and plain vanilla format and in benchmark size.

UPGRADE AND AFFIRMATION OF ISSUER RATINGS

The issuer ratings of German banks reflect their ability to honour the most senior plain vanilla (or ordinary) senior unsecured debt instruments and debt like obligations. Following the reintroduction of a plain vanilla (preferred) senior unsecured debt class, for most banks the issuer rating now reflects the increased volume of subordination that this class of debt benefits from Moody's Advanced LGF analysis therefore results in more rating uplift for issuer ratings than was previously the case. As a result, German banks' issuer ratings were today upgraded by between one and three notches, depending on individual banks' Advanced LGF results. Moody's affirmed one issuer rating at its current level, because the issuer rating already benefitted from the maximum three-notch uplift under Moody's Advanced LGF analysis.

AFFIRMATION OF LONG- AND SHORT-TERM DEPOSIT RATINGS

The deposit ratings of most banks subject to this rating action have been affirmed, reflecting that the changes to the Advanced LGF analysis have had no rating impact.

At the same time, the previous government support assumptions for all affected banks' deposit ratings remained unchanged.

RATIONALE FOR SHORT-TERM PROGRAM AND ISSUER RATINGS

Following today's rating action, Moody's short-term issuer and program ratings map to the long-term senior unsecured debt rating category. In January 2016, because of the statutory subordination of long-term senior unsecured bonds, but not money market obligations, the rating agency anchored short-term debt ratings to deposits, reflecting the preferred legal position of short-term instruments. With the change in legislation and the resulting pari passu ranking of senior unsecured debt with deposits, short-term instruments are appropriately positioned by re-anchoring these instruments to long-term senior unsecured debt.

Today's affirmations of most short-term issuer and program ratings follows the affirmation or upgrade of the banks' long-term senior unsecured debt or program and/or issuer ratings.

AFFIRMATION OF DEBT OBLIGATIONS GUARANTEED BY PUBLIC SECTOR ENTITIES

Whereas most of the German banks' state-guaranteed senior unsecured debt matured before year-end 2015, several German banks continue to have instruments outstanding that are statutorily backed by German public sector entities. Such debt instruments are subject to bail-in and are treated pari passu with all other unsecured debt of equal seniority. However, the guarantee status ensures unchanged recourse in resolution to the public sector guarantors.

Because the rating levels of these instruments are determined based on the creditworthiness of the guarantors, Moody's affirmed all backed obligations affected by today's rating action. At the same time, Moody's reclassified plain vanilla instruments issued by seven banks as backed junior senior unsecured debt, previously treated as backed senior unsecured debt, to reflect the less favourable seniority status of these legacy bonds. Furthermore, the securities reclassified as backed junior senior unsecured debt no longer carry rating outlooks, in line with Moody's general practice of not assigning outlooks to this debt class.

UPDATE OF PROGRAM RATINGS

Since 21 July 2018, German banks can make use of the option to issue either contractually non-preferred (junior) senior unsecured debt or senior unsecured debt without such contractual clarifications that would benefit from a higher priority position in the bank insolvency ranking.

Moody's reflects these distinct issuance options through today's assignment of junior senior unsecured program ratings for those programs of issuers that explicitly foresee both options. The newly assigned ratings of junior senior unsecured programs reflect the rating levels of outstanding bonds of the same respective seniority level. For all German banks with senior unsecured program ratings in place, these program ratings now reflect the ranking of (preferred) senior unsecured debt new issuances. Accordingly, the rating agency upgraded the senior unsecured program ratings of 15 German banks.

At the same time, Moody's withdrew the previously assigned senior senior unsecured program ratings, as far as these ratings had been in place. This reflects that the statutory distinction of seniority levels based on structural coupon and principal repayment components of bonds issued under these programs has legally ceased to exist for bonds newly issued since 21 July 2018.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN?

Pressure in either direction on the German banks' ratings may arise due to: (1) Fundamental developments that result in upgrades or downgrades to a bank's BCA; (2) Any change in Moody's assessment of the strength and/or availability of parental support or cross-sector support -- the latter is generally applicable to banks that are members of an institutional protection scheme; (3) alterations in a bank's liability structure that changes the expected loss severity for particular liability classes; and/or (4) any change in Moody's assumptions regarding the likelihood of government support being available.

Changes in the severity of loss for certain liability classes -- and therefore pressure on the results of Moody's Advanced LGF analysis -- may develop if the individual banks' subordinated instruments increase (or decrease), and/or if senior unsecured debt increases (or decreases) relative to their tangible banking assets. This could result in additional (or fewer) notches of rating uplift resulting from Moody's LGF analysis.

In relation to junior senior unsecured debt ratings, the rating agency expects downward pressure to develop over time. This is because the current stack of outstanding junior senior debt obligations which qualifies for regulatory MREL recognition by far exceeds the anticipated minimum MREL requirements in most cases. Consequently, Moody's expects that German banks' liability structures will alter over time. In particular, the expected shifts between the volume of preferred and non-preferred senior unsecured debt obligations has the potential to reduce the rating uplift available under Moody's Advanced LGF analysis for junior senior debt instruments.

For backed instruments, ratings would change if the creditworthiness of the guarantors improves or deteriorates.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in these ratings was Banks published in August 2018. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

Please click on this link http://www.moodys.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBC_200292 for the List of Affected Credit Ratings. This list is an integral part of this Press Release and provides, for each of the credit ratings covered, Moody's disclosures on the following items:

• Person Approving the Credit Rating

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this credit rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this credit rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

The below contact information is provided for information purposes only. Please see the ratings tab of the issuer page at www.moodys.com, for each of the ratings covered, Moody's disclosures on the lead rating analyst and the Moody's legal entity that has issued the ratings.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Goetz Thurm Vice President - Senior Analyst Financial Institutions Group Moody's Deutschland GmbH An der Welle 5 Frankfurt am Main 60322 Germany JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456 Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Carola Schuler MD - Banking Financial Institutions Group JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456 Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Releasing Office: Moody's Deutschland GmbH An der Welle 5 Frankfurt am Main 60322 Germany JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456 Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454



© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL. FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any

other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.